

Carnegie Mellon
Purpose of Institute
• What is computational social & organizational science
How to link network analysis and simulation
<ul> <li>Move beyond traditional social network analysis to</li> </ul>
dynamic network analysis
• What is the state of the art
<ul> <li>Process of</li> </ul>
Designing models
<ul> <li>Analyzing models</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Validating models</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Become a knowledgeable consumer of computational</li> </ul>
models
Introduction to a set of models and tools
<ul> <li>Learn appropriate and inappropriate critiques</li> </ul>
CASUS NOT about programming
Copyright © 2006 Kathleen M. Carley, CASOS, ISRI, SCS, CMU 2











negie Mellon	
What is Dynamic Network Analysis?	
Combines	
Social network analysis	
Link analysis	
Multi-agent modeling	
Applied to networks that are (meta-networks)	
Large	
Multi-mode	
• Multi-link	
Dynamic	
Uncertain	
Using	
real world empirical data	
Social, behavioral, organizational research findings	
Resulting in multi-agent network modeling (MAS_DNA)	
Sub Areas	
Metric development	
Network assessment	
Network forecasting	
June 13,2006 Copyright © 2006 Kathleen M. Carley, CASOS, ISRI, SCS, CMU	8
	Contract of Con



connections among multiple entities at varying strength				
	People / Agents	Knowledge / Resources	Tasks / Events	Group/ Organizations
People / Agents	Social Network	Knowledge Network	Assignment Network	Membership Network
Knowledge / Resources		Information Network / Substitutes	Needs Network	Core Capabilities
Tasks / Events			Precedence Ordering	Institutional Relation
Group/ Organizations				Inter- organizational













Agents	Knowledge	Resources	Tasks	Locations	Organizations
abu_madja	school	bomb	bomb	basilian	al_qaeda
bin_laden		phone		philippine	bu_sayyaf
hamsiraji_ali				manila	
hisham_hussein				zaboanga	
janialani					
jaml_khalifa					
saddam_hussein					

















Measure	Definition	Meaning	Usage
Centrality	Number nodes connected to	In the know	Identifying source for intel; Reducing information flow
Betweenness	Likelihood of paths through	Connects groups	Typically has political influence, but may be too constrained to act
Closeness	Nearness to all other nodes	Rapid access to all information	Identifying source to acquire/transm information
Betweenness - Closeness	High in betweenness but not closeness	Connects disconnected groups	Reduction in activ

Carnegie Mellon	dividuals W	/ho Stand C	Out
Degree Centrality	High	Cognitive	Task exclusivity
in the know	Betweenness and	Demand	critical ability
	not Degree	emergent leader	
~	connects groups		
Eigenvector central core	Betweenness many paths	Resource exclusivity	Knowledge exclusivity
		Mobilize resources	Mobilize info
CASOS June 13,2006	Copyright © 200	)6 Kathleen M. Carley, CASOS,	, ISRI, SCS, CMU





Carnegie	Mellon			
R		Hov	v to	Influence
<ul> <li>For 1</li> <li>V</li> <li>V</li> <li>V</li> <li>V</li> <li>V</li> </ul>	the target Who are they connect What groups are the What do they know What resources do the control What activities are the product in	cted to y in hey ney	and a line	And a second
"	Attribute	Score	Ranking	Anneny Manders Cognate
	Interacts with	1	Low	Partie Garrier and montation desprove
	Knowledge areas	10	High	String States
	Resource areas	7	High	Strutzerland Schanling Command Mencounter
	Organizations associated with	17	High	Obook Oluny Orocket
	Density of ego net	.097	High	
	Task exclusivity	.0226	High	Ali Khamanai
	Resource exclusivity	.010	High	
	Knowledge exclusivity	.0254	High	M. Khatami
	Degree Centrality	.017	Norm	A Potobi
	Betweenness	.0024	High	A. Datebi S. RUSIUI
CASOS	Cognitive Demand	.033	High	R. Mahami H. Bitaraf
Ø C	Eigenvector Centrality	.001	Low	
YT@I	June 13,2006		Copyright	© 2006 Kathleen M. Carley, CASOS, ISRI, SCS, CMU 30

Carnegie	Vellon		
×	Network Visualization	1. See	
	abogggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggg	2. Drop isola 3. Labo 4	o ate el
	naburgi and a second participation and a second		
	mohammod_shatami zuknti_sin_bin zuknti_sin_bin about_mfdEl_fordedSeau ahmed_ressam		
	ahmed_hannan admed_hannan addis_atabaa		
	Ji poward by 08A (2005) CASOS Canter A	, CMU	31























	1		Link	Week
Who is Isolated	Baasyir	Bin Laden	Cognitive Demand	Boundary Spanner
1	Gokhan	Gokhan	Kandari	Kandari
2	MaFadli	Al Ha Ghamdi	Aufi	Nawar
3	Tabarak	Benyaich	Benali	Aufi
4	Al Ha Ghamdi	Maqbul	HaGhamdi	Jabarah1
5	Aufi	MaFadli	MOShehri	Ameroud



















<b>g</b> Dyne (	t Anai Dutput	ysis		
Bin Laden Isolation → New Network Relationships		New Relatio bin Lad	nships based en Isolation	on
n (ster	From	То	Value	NormValue
Tates	Salah	Sulaeman	0.07378	1
Foote-	Nashiri	Sliti	0.016457	0.223052
Armani Pristure	Ghoni	Haroun	0.012465	0.168949
e Mandaué e Babour	Zubaydah	Faiz	0.012083	0.163765
Viewach Chos Miner	Sabour	Khalfaoui	0.011504	0.155918
Const Fat	Ghoni	Ikhlef	0.011457	0.155283
	Nashiri	Lillie	0.01078	0.146111
And Antonio and Antoni	Ghoni	Atmani	0.01067	0.144618
Dotte	Doha	Ghoni	0.009806	0.132915
Fars	Mahdjoub	Hannachi	0.009584	0.129906
a Junior	Roche	Muqrin	0.009504	0.128819
Dentator Vido	Ghoni	Hannachi	0.009374	0.12705
	Doha	Faris	0.009175	0.124359









Characteristics of Key Actors					
Characteristics	Meaning	al-Qaeda	Hamas		
Complexity	Very low then probably major amounts of missing data, possibly cells are self directed. Very high then system is tightly coupled and possibly prone to group think.	slightly more complex .096 Overall – very low density	slightly less complex .053 Overall – very low density		
Highest in degree centrality	Individual most likely to diffuse new information, isolation of this person will be slightly crippling for a short time.	Bin Ladin .028	Yassin .011		
Highest in cognitive demand	Individual most likely to be an emergent leader, isolation of this person will be moderately crippling for a medium time	Bin Ladin .015	Rantissi .087		









